IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI DELTA DIVISION

LAEFAEVEI EUYLESSITY STINGLEY

PETITIONER

v. No. 2:10CV57-M-S

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, ET AL., ET AL.

RESPONDENTS

FINAL JUDGMENT ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Upon consideration of the file and records in this action, the court finds that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge dated November 3, 2010, was on that date duly served by mail upon the *pro se* petitioner at his last known address; that more than ten days have elapsed since service of the Report and Recommendation; and that no objection to the Report and Recommendation has been filed or served by any party. The court is of the opinion that the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation should be approved and adopted as the opinion of the court. It is, therefore **ORDERED**:

- 1. That the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge dated November 3, 2010, is hereby **APPROVED AND ADOPTED** as the opinion of the court.
- 2. That the instant petition for a writ of *habeas corpus* is hereby **DISMISSED** with prejudice and without evidentiary hearing as untimely filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d).
 - 3. That this case is **CLOSED**.

THIS, the 16th day of December, 2010.

/s/ MICHAEL P. MILLS
CHIEF JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI